

APPLICATION NO.

SUITE 105

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

1727 KING STREET

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

PLICATION NO.	FILING D	PATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,334	09/11/2	003	Leonard M. Greene	2057/144	3786
23338	7590	05/04/2005		EXAM	INER
DENNISO	N, SCHULTZ,	DOUGHER'	DINH, TIE	DINH, TIEN QUANG	
1727 KING					

3644 DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/659,334	GREENE, LEONARD M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tien Dinh	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 February 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ 1	his action is non-final.						
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· —	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore in view of Grondin et al, Jensen, or Matthews et al and Latin.

Moore discloses a helicopter engine warning and control system that has a computer system that measures the temperature of the engine, storing data at which the helicopter can start safely, comparing temperatures, and having a source of water/alcohol that makes sure the engine is operated safely. Moore discloses all claimed parts except for the use of a ground source of water/alcohol and the quick disconnect coupling. However, Grondin et al, Jensen, or Matthews et al discloses that the use of ground source of water/alcohol is well known in the art. Latin discloses that quick disconnect couplings are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a ground source of water/alcohol and quick disconnect couplings in Moore's system as taught by Grondin et al, Jensen, or Matthews et al and Latin to supplement coolant system so that the aircraft engine can start safely and have all the necessary coolants.

Re claims 14, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have stopped using the engines (such as at start-up) if the temperature is too high. This way, the engine wouldn't be damaged.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's arguments that Moore does not teach a means for inputting a safe temperature profile, please note that this is part of the computer system to control the helicopter. In this day and age, computers are programmed by keyboards and mice. Thus keyboards and mice are used to input a safe temperature profile. Plus, why would one skilled in the art want to input unsafe temperature profile that can damage the helicopter? Please note that Grondin et al, Jensen, or Matthews et al were used to show that use of ground source of water/alcohol are well known in the art. In order to allow the helicopter to operate effectively, the airborne tank can be injected or maintained at a full level of water and/or alcohol. This meets what has been claimed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/659,334

Art Unit: 3644

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 4

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-6899. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

/re wh